

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 10/664,273
Confirmation No. : 3435
Applicant : George D. Hermann et al.
Filed : 09/16/2003
TC/A.U. : 3773
Examiner : Melissa K. Ryckman

Docket No. : 06-516 US
Customer No. : 34704

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Dear Sir:

This paper is submitted responsive to the Interview Summary mailed November 18, 2008, and specifically to the requirement stated on page 2 of the notice requiring such a statement.

The Examiner has already issued an interview summary record attached, and the Substance of the Interview contained therein is entirely correct and endorsed by the Applicant. Thus, the interview summary record constitutes the statement of substance of the interview required by MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no fee is due in connection with this paper. If any such fee is due, please charge same to Deposit Account 02-0184.

Respectfully submitted,
George D. Hermann et al.

By /george a. coury/
George A. Coury, 34,309
Attorney for the Applicant
Tel 203-777-6628, x113
Fax 203-865-0297
E-mail: docket@bachlap.com

Date: December 3, 2008



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/664,273

09/16/2003

George D. Hermann

06-516 US

3435

34704 7590 11/18/2008
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.
900 CHAPEL STREET
SUITE 1201
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510

EXAMINER

RYCKMAN, MELISSA K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3773

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Interview Summary Stmt. Due 12-18-08	Application No. 10/664,273 Examiner MELISSA RYCKMAN	Applicant(s) HERMANN ET AL. Art Unit 3773
---	--	--

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MELISSA RYCKMAN. (3) _____

(2) George Coury. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 50 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pierce.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner suggested defining the opposed ends to proximal and distal ends, as to define the location of the insert. This appears to overcome the previous rejection. The examiner will update the search upon receiving the amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Melissa Ryckman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Jackie Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773
--	---